## **Delegated Decision Notice**

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type	Key Decision	Significant		Administrative			
		Operational Decision		Decision			
Approximate	Below £500,000	below £25,000		below £25,000			
value	£500,000 to £1,000,000	£25,000 to £100,000		£25,000 to £100,000			
	⊠ over £1,000,000	£100,000 to £500,000					
		Over £500,000					
Director <sup>1</sup>	The Director of Communities, Housing & Environment						
Contact person:	Adam Crampton	on		Telephone number:			
	Head of Property Managem	nent	07562 439406				
Subject <sup>2</sup> :	Inflationary uplift to the responsive repairs, voids & cyclical maintenance						
	delivery contract (Mears) in the West of Leeds						
	To respond to pressures and increases in the market and to support continuous						
	service delivery, permission requested to increase the value of the Schedule of						
	Rates for Mears for the deli	or Mears for the delivery of Responsive Repairs, Voids and Cyclical					
	Maintenance on the West of	ne West of the city by 9.25%, linked to inflationary pressures and					
	increases in material prices	creases in material prices.					
Decision	What decision has been taken?						
details <sup>3</sup> :	(Set out all necessary decisions to be taken by the decision taker including decisions in						
	relation to exempt information, exemption from call in etc.)						
	The Director of Communities, Housing & Environment						
	a) Approved a one-off increase of 9.25% in the Schedule of Rates for the						
	Responsive Repairs, Voids and Cyclical Maintenance contract between Leeds City						
	Council and Mears Group						
	b) Approved the application of this increase in rates from 1st October 2022						
	A brief statement of the rea	isons for the de	ecision				
		nt financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having					
	consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate) The current contract and rates agreed were established during the procurement						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list <sup>3</sup> Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

	process, 2020/2021. The contract was awarded, and work commenced Oct 2021.				
	Since that time inflationary pressures and consistent increases in material prices				
	have put untenable pressures on the contractor and so after a period of				
	discussions, these support measures were agreed as a proposed solution to				
	enable continue service delivery in the West of the city. Colleagues from PAC'S				
	and Finance have supported discussions along with the Chief Officer for Housing.				
	This approach is the result of those discussions and the agreement reached				
	proposes a solution that increase the success of retaining the provision of this				
	service.				
	Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision maker at the time of making the decision				
	Refuse the request and remain on tendered rates. This option was ruled out				
	on the basis that it would likely lead to termination of the contract on the				
	basis of financial viability.				
	<ul> <li>Reprocure the contract. This option was ruled out on the basis that re-</li> </ul>				
	tendered rates would be significantly higher than those previously				
	submitted as a result of the market pressures highlighted above, plus the				
	ongoing instability in the market.				
	<ul> <li>Insource service to LBS. This option was ruled out on the basis that LBS</li> </ul>				
	has recently undergone significant expansion in the South of the city and				
	remains in the 'normalisation' phase of delivery. Further expansion at this				
	time was deemed too high a risk, putting the effectiveness of the service				
	across the city at risk.				
	<ul> <li>Negotiate with Mears to reach a mutually agreeable position. This was</li> </ul>				
	identified as the preferred option on the basis of 'best value' (i.e. would still				
	represent the least costly option for Housing Leeds) and operational				
	continuity.				
Affected wards:	Adel & Wharfedale, Armley, Bramley & Stanningley, Calverley & Farsley, City &				
	Hunslet, Farnley & Wortley, Guiseley & Rawdon, Headingley & Hyde Park,				
	Horsforth, Kirkstall, Otley & Yeadon, Pudsey, Weetwood				
Details of	Executive Member				
consultation undertaken <sup>4</sup> :	Cllr Rafique and Deputy Executive Member Cllr Hamilton				
unuentaken:	Ward Councillors No				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given.

	Chief Digital and Information Officer <sup>5</sup> NA Chief Asset Management and Regeneration Officer <sup>6</sup> NA Others						
Implementation	Accountable Officer Adam Crampton, propose the rates and incurred cost will be						
	backdated to 01/10/2022						
List of	Date Added to List:- 20th September 2022						
Forthcoming	If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is						
Key Decisions <sup>7</sup>	impracticable to delay the decision NA						
	If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval NA						
	Signature	ignature Date					
Publication of report <sup>8</sup>	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason why not possible:NA						
	If published late relevant Executive member's approval						
	Signature NA Date						
Call In	Is the decision available <sup>9</sup>	🛛 Yes		🗌 No			
	for call-in?						
	If exempt from call-in, the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the council or the public:						
Approval of	Authorised decision maker <sup>10</sup>						
Decision	Director of Communities, Housing and Environment, James Rodgers						
	Signature	Date 19/10/202		022			
	1 ag	- ,					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CDIO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's use of

digital technology <sup>6</sup> See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CAMRO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call

in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3. <sup>10</sup> Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.